



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,086	12/31/2003	Richard H. Hinkson	H0005941-0555	1897
7.	590 12/04/2006	EXAMINER		
	L INTERNATIONA	GARY, ERIKA A		
LAW DEPARTMENT 101 COLUMBIA ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOW	N, NJ 07692		2617	

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/750,086	HINKSON, RICHARD H.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	, ,		
	Erika A. Gary	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: The period for reply expires	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repletate of the final rejection.	offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C ly must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	7).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b) ay reduce any		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered	because .		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will will be will will be will	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 60-74.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			.:		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	ınce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
	,				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner maintains the rejection of claims 60-74 as being unpatentable over Thrasher in view of Fujisawa. Applicant argues that neither reference includes the term "security panel". The Examiner agrees that the exact term "security panel" is not used, however, both references include a device that performs the same functions of Applicant's security panel. In Thrasher, the security panel is represented by reference 120 in figure 1. In Fujisawa, the security panel is represented by the mobile telephone, figure 1, reference 11. Therefore, the Examiner maintains that both Thrasher and Fujisawa teach a security panel even though they are referred to by a different name.

PRIMARY EXAMINER